

Ekeland's inverse function theorem in graded Fréchet spaces revisited for multifunctions[☆]

Huynh Van Ngai¹

Department of Mathematics, University of Quynhon

M. Théra^{2,*}

Université de Limoges, Laboratoire XLIM, UMR-CNRS 6172, France and Centre for Informatics and Applied Optimization, Federation University, Australia

Abstract

In this paper, we present some implicit function theorems for set-valued mappings between Fréchet spaces. The proof relies on Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem and on Ekeland's variational principle. An application to the existence of solutions of differential equations in Fréchet spaces with non-smooth data is given.

Keywords: Inverse function theorem, Implicit function theorem, Fréchet space, Nash-Moser theorem, graded Fréchet spaces, standard Fréchet spaces, Contingent derivative, Ekeland variational principle, Implicit multifunction theorem.

2010 MSC: 34G20, 47J07, 49J53, 49K40, 58C15.

1. Introduction

The implicit function theorem is an essential component of the classical as well as the modern variational analysis and a device to solving nonlinear equations. The implicit function theorem or its variants known as the inverse function theorem or the rank theorem have been established originally in Euclidean spaces and then extended to the Banach space setting. Outside this setting, for instance in Fréchet spaces, it is known that the inverse function theorem generally fails (see Łojasiewicz Jr & Zehnder [?]). This is the reason why another form of implicit function theorem, nowadays called the Nash-Moser theorem is used as a powerful tool in modern analysis and especially to prove local existence for non-linear partial differential equations in spaces of smooth functions. Some inverse theorems of Nash-Moser type have also been proved for maps between

[☆]Dedicated to the memory of Jonathan M. Borwein.

^{*}Principal corresponding author

Email addresses: `nghiakhiem@yahoo.com` (Huynh Van Ngai), `michel.thera@unilim.fr` (M. Théra)

¹Research supported by NAFOSTED from Vietnam.

²Research supported by Research partially supported by the Gaspard Monge Program for Optimization, by Australian Research Council, project DP160100854.

Fréchet spaces, allowing tameness of the space or smoothing operator as introduced by Nash (see e.g. [? ? ? ? ? ? ? ?]). These methods allow Newton's method on which the proofs are based to overcome the loss of derivatives to converge. Recently, Ekeland [?] (see also Ekeland & Séré [?]) produced a new result within a class much larger than the one used in the Nash-Moser literature.

Nowadays, modeling has evolved beyond equations and we know the importance and the efficacy of studying set-valued solution mappings which assign to each instance of the parameter element in a model all the corresponding solutions, if any. But, as it is mentioned in the book by Dontchev & Rockafeller [?], *“the central question is whether a solution-mapping can be localized graphically in order to achieve single-valuedness and in that sense produce a function, the desired implicit function”*. To be more explicit, many applied problems can be modeled as differential inclusions or more generally as generalized equations, that is, inclusions governed by a set-valued mapping. For these problems which are the analogous of nonlinear equations, there is a need to use implicit multifunction theorems. During the last years a wide literature has emerged related to implicit multifunction theorems (see e.g., [? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ?] and the references therein). However, to our knowledge, they have been established in the framework of Banach spaces and nothing exists for Fréchet spaces. Therefore, motivated by the recent work by Ekeland, and Ekeland & Séré, it is our aim in this paper to investigate the possibility to obtain, in the context of graded Fréchet spaces, an implicit multifunction theorem for set-valued mappings.

We begin with recalling some notions on Fréchet spaces, i.e. on locally convex spaces which are Hausdorff, complete and whose topology is induced by a countable family of semi-norms $(\|\cdot\|_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$. The space $H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ defined as the intersection of all Sobolev spaces $H^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ equipped with the norms

$$\|u\|_k = \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|\partial^\alpha u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

is a typical example of Fréchet space.

Given a Fréchet space F , we may produce another Fréchet space widely used in analysis, that is, the space $C^0([a, b], F)$ of continuous paths in F equipped with the semi-norms defined by $\|f\|_k := \sup_{t \in [a, b]} \|f(t)\|_k$. A graded Fréchet space X is a Fréchet space, whose topology is generated by a fixed sequence of semi-norms $(\|\cdot\|_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, increasing in strength, so that,

$$\|x\|_k \leq \|x\|_{k+1} \quad \forall x \in X, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For example, the space $C^\infty([a, b])$ of infinitely differentiable functions on the interval $[a, b]$ with the grading

$$\|f\|_n = \sup_{k \leq n} \sup_{x \in [a, b]} |D^k(f(x))|,$$

as well as the space $C^\infty(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^d)$, where $\overline{\Omega} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is compact, with a smooth boundary and

is the closure of its interior are graded Fréchet spaces. Another example is the space of all the sequences $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |x_n|^{\frac{1}{n}} = 0$ equipped with the norms $\|x\|_r = (\sum_{n \geq 0} |x_n|^2 r^{2n})^{\frac{1}{n}}$.

It should be observed that the grading plays an essential role in the statement and proof of the Nash-Moser inverse function theorem, although if every Fréchet space can be considered as a graded Fréchet space by replacing the initial family of semi-norms by

$$\text{the semi-norms } \|\cdot\|_n := \sum_{k=1}^{k=n} \|\cdot\|_k.$$

The Cartesian product of two graded Fréchet spaces is a graded Fréchet space with the grading

$$\|(x, y)\|_n = \|x\|_n + \|y\|_n.$$

Also a closed subspace of a graded Fréchet space is a graded Fréchet space. Given a graded Fréchet space, a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements in X converges to $x \in X$, if and only if, $\|x_n - x\|_k \rightarrow 0$ for all $k \geq 0$. Due to a classical result that (see e.g. [?]), a graded Fréchet space is a complete metric space with the metric:

$$d(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k \min\{r, \|x - y\|_k\}, \quad (1)$$

where, $(\mu_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is any sequence of non-negative numbers with unbounded support: $\sup\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \mu_k \neq 0\} = +\infty$ and $r > 0$. To conclude these preliminaries on graded Fréchet spaces, let us recall that given a graded Fréchet space X , it holds $X = \cap_{k=1}^{\infty} X_k$, where, X_k is the completion of X for the norm $\|\cdot\|_k$.

Definition 1. ([?], Definition 5) *A graded Fréchet space is said to be standard if for every $x \in X$, we can find a constant $c_0(x)$, a sequence $(x_n) \subseteq X$ converging to x and a sequence of non-negative numbers $(c_1(x_n))$ such that*

$$\|x_n\|_k \leq c_0(x) \|x\|_k \quad \text{and} \quad \|x_n\|_k \leq c_1(x_n)^k \quad \forall k, n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2)$$

The space $C^\infty(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^d)$ is a standard graded Fréchet space, see [?].

Let X, Y be graded Fréchet spaces. Consider a multifunction (set-valued mapping) $F : X \rightrightarrows Y$ between X and Y , that is a function between X and the subsets (possibly empty) of Y . We denote by $\text{gph } F$ and $\text{dom } F$ and $F^{-1} : Y \rightrightarrows X$, the *graph*, *domain* and *inverse* of F , respectively:

$$\text{gph } F = \{(x, y) \in X \times Y : y \in F(x)\}; \quad \text{dom } F = \{x \in X : F(x) \neq \emptyset\}$$

and

$$F^{-1}(y) := \{x \in X : y \in F(x)\}, \quad y \in Y.$$

We say that F is a *closed* multifunction if $\text{gph } F$ is a closed subset of $X \times Y$. In what follows, we will use the notion of *contingent derivative* of multifunctions. The contingent derivative of the multifunction F at a point $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \text{gph } F$ is the multifunction $DF(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) :$

$X \rightrightarrows Y$, defined for $u \in X$ by

$$\{v \in Y : \exists (t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \downarrow 0^+, \exists (u_n, v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow (u, v) \text{ with } (\bar{x} + t_n u_n, \bar{y} + t_n v_n) \in \text{gph } F, \forall n\}.$$

In other words, $DF(\bar{x}, \bar{y})(u) = \{v \in Y : (u, v) \in T_{\text{gph } F}(\bar{x}, \bar{y})\}$, where, $T_{\text{gph } F}(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ stands for the *tangent cone* to $\text{gph } F$ at $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$. For more details, the reader is referred to the book by Aubin & Frankowska [?].

When $F : X \rightarrow Y$ is a single-valued mapping, we use the notation $DF(\bar{x})$ for $DF(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$. Note that if $F : X \rightarrow Y$ is Gâteaux differentiable at $\bar{x} \in X$, then $DF(\bar{x})$ coincides with the Gâteaux derivative of F at $\bar{x}$.

2. Implicit multifunction theorems

Throughout, we consider a closed multifunction $F : X \rightrightarrows Y$ between graded Fréchet spaces X and Y . For given $r \in (0, +\infty]$, $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote respectively by $B_X(\bar{x}, k_0, r) = \{x \in X : \|x - \bar{x}\|_{k_0} < r\}$ and $B_X[\bar{x}, k_0, r] = \{x \in X : \|x - \bar{x}\|_{k_0} \leq r\}$, the open and closed balls in X centered at $\bar{x} \in X$, with radius r with respect to the semi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{k_0}$.

Theorem 2. *Let $F : X \rightrightarrows Y$ be a closed multifunction between graded Fréchet spaces and let be given $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \text{gph } F$. Assume furthermore that Y is standard. Suppose also that there are integers k_0, d_1, d_2 , real numbers $r \in (0, +\infty]$, $C \geq 0$ and non-decreasing sequences of non-negative reals $(\nu_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, $(\nu'_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, $(m_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, and $(p_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $m_k \geq 1$, $p_k \geq 1$ such that the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i) *For all $(x, y) \in \text{gph } F$ with $x \in B_X(\bar{x}, k_0, r)$, $y \in B_Y(\bar{y}, k_0 + d_1 + d_2, 2r/p_{k_0+d_1})$, for every $v \in DF(x, y)u$ with $u \in X$, there exist $c_2(x, y, u) > 0$ and sequences $t_n \downarrow 0$, $u_n \rightarrow u$ and $v_n \rightarrow v$ with $(x + t_n u_n, z + t_n v_n) \in \text{gph } F$, such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\|v_n\|_k \leq c_2(x, y, u)(m_k \|u\|_{k+d_1} + \|x - \bar{x}\|_k / p_{k-d_2} + \|y - \bar{y}\|_k + \nu_k)$$

and

$$\|u_n\|_k \leq c_2(x, y, u)(m_k \|u\|_{k+d_1} + \|x - \bar{x}\|_k + \nu_k);$$

- (ii) *For all $(x, y) \in \text{gph } F$ with $x \in B_X(\bar{x}, k_0, r)$, $y \in B_Y(\bar{y}, k_0 + d_1 + d_2, 2r/p_{k_0+d_1})$, for every $v \in Y$, there exists $u \in DF(x, y)^{-1}(v)$ such that*

$$\|u\|_k \leq C \left(\frac{\|x - \bar{x}\|_{k-d_1-d_2}}{m_k p_{k-d_1-d_2}} + \nu'_k \right) \|v\|_{d_1+d_2} + p_k \|v\|_{k+d_2}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By convention we set $\|\cdot\|_k = \|\cdot\|_0$ and $p_k = 1$ for $k < 0$.

Let $(\beta_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of non-negative reals with unbounded support such that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \nu_k < +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k m_k \nu'_{k+d_1} < +\infty, \quad (3)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k < +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k m_k p_{k+d_1} n^k < +\infty. \quad (4)$$

Then, for every $y \in Y$ with $C\gamma < 1$, where

$$\gamma := \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\beta_k \|y - \bar{y}\|_k + \beta_{k+d_1+d_2} \nu'_{k+d_1} / p_{k+d_1})}{\sum_{k=d_1+d_2}^{\infty} \beta_k}, \quad (5)$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \|y - \bar{y}\|_k \left(1 - \sqrt{C\gamma}\right)^{-2} < \frac{r \beta_{k_0+d_1+d_2}}{p_{k_0+d_1}}, \quad (6)$$

there exists $x \in B_X(\bar{x}, k_0, r)$ such that $y \in F(x)$.

Proof. The proof is based on the Ekeland variational principle [?]. However, the function and space to which the Ekeland variational principle is applied are different from [?].

By translation if necessary, we can assume without loss of generality that $\bar{x} = 0$ and $\bar{y} = 0$. Let $(\alpha_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the sequence defined by

$$\alpha_k = \frac{\beta_{k+d_1+d_2}}{p_{k+d_1}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Consider the distances on X and Y defined respectively by

$$d(x_1, x_2) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k \min\{r, \|x_1 - x_2\|_k\}, \quad x_1, x_2 \in X,$$

$$d(y_1, y_2) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \min\{r, \|y_1 - y_2\|_k\}, \quad y_1, y_2 \in Y.$$

For $\varepsilon > 0$, we define the distance $d_\varepsilon(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $X \times Y$ by

$$d_\varepsilon((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) := d(x_1, x_2) + \varepsilon d(y_1, y_2), \quad (x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in X \times Y.$$

Equipped with these distances, the spaces X, Y and therefore $X \times Y$ are complete metric spaces. Let $y_0 \in Y$ be such that (5) and (6) are satisfied. Setting

$$\gamma = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\beta_k \|y - \bar{y}\|_k + \beta_{k+d_1+d_2} \nu'_{k+d_1} / p_{k+d_1})}{\sum_{k=d_1+d_2}^{\infty} \beta_k}, \quad \eta = \sqrt{C\gamma} - C\gamma, \quad (7)$$

consider the extended-real-valued function $f : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ defined by

$$f(x, y) = \eta \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k \|x\|_{k+d_1} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \|y - y_0\|_k + \delta_{\text{gph } F}(x, y), \quad (x, y) \in X \times Y, \quad (8)$$

where $\delta_{\text{gph } F}$ stands for the *indicator function* of $\text{gph } F$, that is,

$$\delta_{\text{gph } F}(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (x, y) \in \text{gph } F, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Claim 1. *The function f is lower semicontinuous and bounded from below on $X \times Y$.*

One has

$$0 \leq \inf_{(x, y) \in X \times Y} f(x, y) \leq f(0, 0) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \|y_0\|_k < +\infty. \quad (9)$$

Take a sequence $((x_n, y_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converging to (x, y) in $X \times Y$. Then, $\|x_n - x\|_k \rightarrow 0$ and $\|y_n - y\|_k \rightarrow 0$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Two cases may happen:

1.- If $(x, y) \notin \text{gph } F$, then by the closedness of the graph of F , $(x_n, y_n) \notin \text{gph } F$ when n is sufficiently large. Hence,

$$\lim_n f(x_n, y_n) = +\infty = f(x, y).$$

2.- Suppose now that $(x, y) \in \text{gph } F$. Thanks to the Fatou lemma we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f(x_n, y_n) &\geq \eta \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k \|x_n\|_k + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \|y_n - y_0\|_k \right) \\ &\geq \eta \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|x_n\|_k + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \beta_k \|y_n - y_0\|_k \\ &= \eta \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k \|x\|_k + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \|y - y_0\|_k = f(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

establishing the claim. □

As

$$\frac{C\gamma + \eta}{\eta(1 - C\gamma - \eta)} = (1 - \sqrt{C\gamma})^{-2},$$

in view of assumption (6), take $\bar{r} > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\bar{r} < r \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{C\gamma + \eta + \eta\varepsilon}{\eta(1 - C\gamma - \eta)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \|y_0\|_k < \frac{\bar{r}\beta_{k_0+d_1+d_2}}{pk_0+d_1}. \quad (10)$$

Set

$$\kappa := \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \|y_0\|_k}{\bar{r}\alpha_{k_0}} = \frac{f(0, 0)}{\bar{r}\alpha_{k_0}} < \frac{\eta(1 - C\gamma - \eta)}{C\gamma + \eta + \eta\varepsilon}. \quad (11)$$

Applying the Ekeland variational principle to the function f on $X \times Y$ endowed with the distance d_ε , we may find $(x_0, z_0) \in X \times Y$ such that

$$f(x_0, z_0) \leq f(0, 0), \quad (12)$$

$$d_\varepsilon((x_0, z_0), (0, 0)) \leq \bar{r}\alpha_{k_0}, \quad (13)$$

and

$$f(x, y) + \kappa(d(x, x_0) + \varepsilon d(y, z_0)) \geq f(x_0, z_0) \quad \forall (x, y) \in X \times Y. \quad (14)$$

Obviously, $(x_0, z_0) \in \text{gph } F$. By relations (10) and (13),

$$\alpha_{k_0} \min\{r, \|x_0\|_{k_0}\} \leq d_\varepsilon((x_0, z_0), (0, 0)) \leq \bar{r}\alpha_{k_0} < r\alpha_{k_0}.$$

Consequently, $\|x_0\|_{k_0} < r$. Since according to (6),

$$\beta_{k_0+d_1+d_2}\|y_0\|_{k_0+d_1+d_2} \leq f(0, 0) < \frac{r\beta_{k_0+d_1+d_2}}{p_{k_0+d_1}},$$

it follows $\|y_0\|_{k_0+d_1+d_2} < r/p_{k_0+d_1}$. Furthermore, as

$$\beta_{k_0+d_1+d_2}\|z_0 - y_0\|_{k_0+d_1+d_2} \leq f(x_0, z_0) \leq f(0, 0) < \frac{r\beta_{k_0+d_1+d_2}}{p_{k_0+d_1}},$$

one obtains

$$\|z_0\|_{k_0+d_1+d_2} \leq \|y_0\|_{k_0+d_1+d_2} + \|z_0 - y_0\|_{k_0+d_1+d_2} \leq 2r/p_{k_0+d_1}.$$

From (14), for all $(x, y) \in \text{gph } F$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k (\|z_0 - y_0\|_k - \|y - y_0\|_k) \\ & \leq \eta \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k (\|x\|_k - \|x_0\|_k) \\ & + \kappa \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k \min\{r, \|x - x_0\|_k\} + \varepsilon \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \min\{r, \|y - z_0\|_k\} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

It suffices to prove that $z_0 = y_0$. Assume to the contrary that $z_0 \neq y_0$. Setting $v = y_0 - z_0$, and using the assumption that Y is standard, there exists a sequence $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converging to v such that

$$\|v_n\|_k \leq c_0(v)\|v\|_k, \quad \|v_n\| \leq c_1(v_n)^k \quad \forall n, k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (16)$$

From condition (ii), for every n , there exists $u_n \in DF(x_0, z_0)^{-1}(v_n)$ such that

$$\|u_n\|_k \leq C \left(\frac{\|x_0\|_{k-d_1-d_2}}{m_k p_{k-d_1-d_2}} + \nu'_k \right) \|v_n\|_{d_1+d_2} + p_k \|v_n\|_{k+d_2}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (17)$$

From condition (i), for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist a real $c_2(u_n) > 0$, sequences $t_{n,j} \downarrow 0$,

$u_{n,j} \rightarrow u_n$, $v_{n,j} \rightarrow v_n$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$(x_0 + t_{n,j}u_{n,j}, z_0 + t_{n,j}v_{n,j}) \in \text{gph } F, \forall j$$

$$\|v_{n,j}\|_k \leq c_2(u_n)(m_k\|u_n\|_{k+d_1} + \|x_0\|_k/p_{k-d_2} + \|z_0\|_k + \nu_k), \forall j, k, \quad (18)$$

$$\|u_{n,j}\|_k \leq c_2(u_n)(m_k\|u_n\|_{k+d_1} + \|x_0\|_k + \nu_k), \forall j, k. \quad (19)$$

Plugging $x := x_0 + t_{n,j}u_{n,j}$ and $y := z_0 + t_{n,j}v_{n,j}$ into relation (2), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k(\|v\|_k - \|v - t_{n,j}v_{n,j}\|_k) &\leq \eta \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k(\|x_0 + t_{n,j}u_{n,j}\|_{k+d_1} - \|x_0\|_{k+d_1}) \\ &+ \kappa \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k \min\{r, t_{n,j}\|u_{n,j}\|_k\} + \varepsilon \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \min\{r, t_{n,j}\|v_{n,j}\|_k\} \right) \quad \forall n, j. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

We can assume $t_{n,j} \in (0, 1)$, for all n, j . Then, one has

$$\|v - t_{n,j}v_{n,j}\|_k = \|t_{n,j}(v - v_{n,j}) + (1 - t_{n,j})v\|_k \leq t_{n,j}\|v - v_{n,j}\|_k + (1 - t_{n,j})\|v\|_k.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{\|v\|_k - \|v - t_{n,j}v_{n,j}\|_k}{t_{n,j}} \geq \|v\|_k - \|v - v_{n,j}\|_k \quad \forall n, j, k.$$

Combining this inequality with (2), one derives

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k(\|v\|_k - \|v - v_{n,j}\|_k) &\leq \eta \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k\|u_{n,j}\|_{k+d_1} + \\ &+ \kappa \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k \min\left\{\frac{r}{t_{n,j}}, \|u_{n,j}\|_k\right\} + \varepsilon \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \min\left\{\frac{r}{t_{n,j}}, \|v_{n,j}\|_k\right\} \right) \quad \forall n, j. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Claim 2. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, one has

$$\lim_j \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k(\|v\|_k - \|v - v_{n,j}\|_k) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k(\|v\|_k - \|v - v_n\|_k)$$

and

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \min\left\{\frac{r}{t_{n,j}}, \|v_{n,j}\|_k\right\} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k\|v_n\|_k.$$

By relations (16), (17) and (18), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\|v_{n,j}\|_k &\leq c_2(u_n)m_k\|u_n\|_{k+d_1} + c_2(u_n)\|x_0\|_k/p_{k-d_2} + c_2(u_n)\|z_0\|_k + c_2(u_n)\nu_k \\
&\leq c_2(u_n) \left[C \left(\frac{\|x_0\|_{k-d_2}}{p_{k-d_2}} + m_k\nu'_{k+d_1} \right) \|v_n\|_{d_1+d_2} + m_k p_{k+d_1} \|v_n\|_{k+d_1+d_2} \right] \\
&\quad + c_2(u_n)\|x_0\|_k/p_{k-d_2} + c_2(u_n)\|z_0\|_k \\
&\leq c_2(u_n) \left[\frac{C}{p_{k-d_2}} \|x_0\|_{k-d_2} \|v_n\|_{d_1+d_2} + m_k p_{k+d_1} c_1(v_n)^{k+d_1+d_2} \right] \\
&\quad + C c_2(u_n) \|v_n\|_{d_1+d_2} m_k \nu'_{k+d_1} + c_2(u_n) \|x_0\|_k/p_{k-d_2} + c_2(u_n) \|z_0\|_k + c_2(u_n) \nu_k.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, for every $n, j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \|v_{n,j}\|_k \\
&\leq c_2(u_n) \left[C \|v_n\|_{d_1+d_2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{k-d_1-d_2} \|x_0\|_{k-d_2} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k m_k p_{k+d_1} c_1(v_n)^{k+d_1+d_2} \right] \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{k-d_1} \|x_0\|_k + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \|z_0\|_k \\
&\quad + c_2(u_n) (C \|v_n\|_{d_1+d_2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \nu'_{k+d_1} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \nu_k).
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

By (3),

$$C \|v_n\|_{d_1+d_2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k m_k \nu'_{k+d_1} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \nu_k < +\infty;$$

by (4),

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k m_k p_{k+d_1} c_1(v_n)^{k+d_1+d_2} < \infty,$$

and in view of relation (12),

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k \|x_0\|_{k+d_1} &\leq f(x_0, z_0) \leq f(0, 0) < \infty; \\
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \|z_0\|_k &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \|y_0\|_k + f(0, 0) < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, according to Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem, relation (22) yields for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k (\|v\|_k - \|v - v_{n,j}\|_k) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k (\|v\|_k - \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|v - v_{n,j}\|_k) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k (\|v\|_k - \|v - v_n\|_k),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \min \left\{ \frac{r}{t_{n,j}}, \|v_{n,j}\|_k \right\} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \|v_n\|. \quad \square$$

Claim 3. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, one has

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k \|u_{n,j}\|_{k+d_1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k \|u_n\|_{k+d_1}, \quad (23)$$

and

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k \min \left\{ \frac{r}{t_{n,j}}, \|u_{n,j}\|_k \right\} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k \|u_n\|_k. \quad (24)$$

From relations (16), (17) and (19), for every $k, n, j \in \mathbb{N}$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{n,j}\|_k &\leq c_2(u_n)(m_k \|u_n\|_{k+d_1} + \|x_0\|_k + \nu_k) \\ &\leq c_2(u_n) \left(C \left(\frac{\|x_0\|_{k-d_2}}{p_{k-d_2}} + m_k \nu'_{k+d_1} \right) \|v_n\|_{d_1+d_2} + m_k p_k \|v_n\|_{k+d_2} + \|x_0\|_k + \nu_k \right) \\ &\leq c_2(u_n) \left(C \|x_0\|_{k-d_2} \|v_n\|_{d_1+d_2} + m_k p_k c_1(v_n)^{k+d_2} + \|x_0\|_k \right) \\ &\quad + c_2(u_n) \nu_k + c_2(u_n) C \|v_n\|_{d_1+d_2} m_k \nu'_{k+d_1}. \end{aligned}$$

As $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k m_k \nu'_{k+d_1}$, $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k \|x_0\|_{k+d_1}$ and $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k m_{k+d_1} p_{k+d_1} c_1(v_n)^{k+d_1+d_2}$ are convergent series, we deduce (23) and (24) by Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem. $\square$

By virtue of Claims 2 and 3, by letting $j \rightarrow \infty$ in inequality (21), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k (\|v\|_k - \|v - v_n\|_k) &\leq \eta \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k \|u_n\|_{k+d_1} + \\ &+ \kappa \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k \|u_n\|_k + \varepsilon \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \|v_n\|_k \right) \quad \forall n. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Next, using the first relation of (16), and the inequalities

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \|v\|_k \leq f(x_0, z_0) \leq f(0, 0),$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, one has

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \|v_n\|_k \leq c_0(v) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \|v\|_k < \infty.$$

Applying again Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem, one obtains

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k (\|v\|_k - \|v - v_n\|_k) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k (\|v\|_k - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v - v_n\|_k) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \|v\|_k, \quad (26)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \|v_n\|_k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v_n\|_k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \|v\|_k. \quad (27)$$

From (17), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k \|u_n\|_k &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k \|u_n\|_{k+d_1} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C \alpha_k \left(\frac{\|x_0\|_{k-d_2}}{m_{k+d_1} p_{k-d_2}} + \nu'_{k+d_1} \right) \|v_n\|_{d_1+d_2} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k p_{k+d_1} \|v_n\|_{k+d_1+d_2} \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k \left(\|x_0\|_{k+d_1} + \nu'_{k+d_1} \right) \|v_n\|_{d_1+d_2} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_{k+d_1+d_2} \|v_n\|_{k+d_1+d_2}. \end{aligned}$$

As

$$\eta \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k \|x_0\|_{k+d_1} \leq f(x_0, z_0) \leq f(0, 0) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \|y_0\|_k,$$

one deduces that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k \|u_n\|_k &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k \|u_n\|_{k+d_1} \\ &\leq \frac{C\gamma}{\eta} \sum_{k=d_1+d_2}^{\infty} \beta_k \|v_n\|_{d_1+d_2} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_{k+d_1+d_2} \|v_n\|_{k+d_1+d_2} \\ &\leq \frac{C\gamma}{\eta} \sum_{k=d_1+d_2}^{\infty} \beta_k \|v_n\|_k + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_{k+d_1+d_2} \|v_n\|_{k+d_1+d_2} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{C\gamma}{\eta} + 1 \right) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \|v_n\|_k. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

By virtue of this inequality, letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in relation (25), in view of relations (26) and (27), one obtains

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \|v\|_k \leq [(C\gamma + \eta) + \kappa(C\gamma/\eta + 1 + \varepsilon)] \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \|v\|_k,$$

from which follows that

$$\kappa \geq \frac{\eta(1 - C\gamma - \eta)}{C\gamma + \eta + \eta\varepsilon}.$$

This contradicts (10), (11). The proof is completed. $\square$

In the last part of this section, we consider two graded Fréchet spaces X and Y , a topological space P and a multifunction $F : X \times P \rightrightarrows Y$. For $p \in P$, set

$$S(p) = \{x \in X : 0 \in F(x, p)\}. \tag{29}$$

The multifunction $S : P \rightrightarrows X$ is referred to the *solution mapping* associated to F . For $p \in P$, denote by $F_p := F(\cdot, p) : X \rightrightarrows Y$. By making use of Theorem 2, we derive the following implicit multifunction theorem.

Theorem 3. *Let X, Y be graded Fréchet spaces and let P be a topological space. Consider a multifunction $F : X \times P \rightrightarrows Y$ and a given point given $(\bar{x}, \bar{p}) \in X \times P$ with $0 \in F(\bar{x}, \bar{p})$. Assume furthermore that Y is standard. Suppose also that there are integers k_0, d_1, d_2 , real numbers $r_0 \in (0, +\infty]$, $C > 0$ and non-decreasing sequences of non-negative reals $\{\mu_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{\mu'_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, and $(m_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, $(p_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $m_k \geq 1$, $p_k \geq 1$ such that the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i) *For each $p \in P$, $\text{gph } F_p$ is closed and the multifunction $F(\bar{x}, \cdot) : P \rightrightarrows Y$ is lower*

semicontinuous at $\bar{p}$;

- (ii) For all p near $\bar{p}$, for all $(x, y) \in \text{gph } F_p$ with $x \in B_X(\bar{x}, k_0, r_0)$, $y \in B_Y(0, k_0 + d_1 + d_2, 2r_0/p_{k_0+d_1})$, for every $v \in DF_p(x, z)u$ with $u \in X$, there exist $c_2(x, p, y, u) > 0$ and sequences $t_n \downarrow 0$, $u_n \rightarrow u$ and $v_n \rightarrow v$ with $(x + t_n u_n, y + t_n v_n) \in \text{gph } F_p$, such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\|v_n\|_k \leq c_2(x, p, y, u)(m_k \|u\|_{k+d_1} + \|x - \bar{x}\|_k / p_{k-d_2} + \|y\|_k + \mu_k)$$

and

$$\|u_n\|_k \leq c_2(x, p, y, u)(m_k \|u\|_{k+d_1} + \|x - \bar{x}\|_k + \mu_k);$$

- (ii) For $p \in P$ near $\bar{p}$, for all $(x, y) \in \text{gph } F_p$ with $x \in B_X(\bar{x}, k_0, r_0)$, $y \in B_Y(0, k_0 + d_1 + d_2, 2r/p_{k_0+d_1})$, for every $v \in Y$, there exists $u \in DF_p(x, y)^{-1}(v)$ such that

$$\|u\|_k \leq \frac{C}{m_k p_{k-d_1-d_2}} \|x - \bar{x}\|_{k-d_1-d_2} \|v\|_{d_1+d_2} + p_k \|v\|_{k+d_2}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then for every $\tau > p_{k_0+d_1}$, there exist $r \in (0, r_0)$ and a neighborhood W in P of $\bar{p}$ such that

$$d_{k_0}(x, S(p)) \leq \tau d_{k_0+d_1+d_2}(0, F(x, p)), \quad (30)$$

for all $(x, p) \in B_X(\bar{x}, k_0, r) \times W$. Here, $d_k(\cdot, \cdot)$ stands for the distance from point-to-set with respect to the semi-norm $\|\cdot\|_k$ defining the Fréchet space in question.

Proof. Pick a positive real $r \in (0, r_0)$ such as $Cr < 1/2$. Since $F(\bar{x}, \cdot)$ is lower semicontinuous, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a neighborhood W of $\bar{p}$ in P such that $F(\bar{x}, p) \cap B_Y(0, k_0 + d_1 + d_2, \varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$, for all $p \in W$. That is,

$$d_{k_0+d_1+d_2}(0, F(\bar{x}, p)) < \varepsilon, \quad \forall p \in W.$$

Suppose that for this neighborhood W , conditions (i) and (ii) are satisfied for all $p \in W$. For a given $p \in W$, there is $\bar{y}_p \in F(\bar{x}, p)$ such as

$$\|\bar{y}_p\|_{k_0+d_1+d_2} \leq (1 + \varepsilon) d_{k_0+d_1+d_2}(0, F(\bar{x}, p)) < \varepsilon.$$

Let $\tau > p_{k_0+d_1}$ and $p \in W$ with $0 \notin F(\bar{x}, p)$ be given. Pick $\varepsilon > 0$ with $\tau\varepsilon < r/4$ and $p_{k_0+d_1} < \tau/(1 + \varepsilon)$ and $0 < r' < r$ with

$$p_{k_0+d_1} \|\bar{y}_p\| < r' < \frac{\tau}{1 + \varepsilon} \|\bar{y}_p\|_{k_0+d_1+d_2} \leq \tau d_{k_0+d_1+d_2}(0, F(\bar{x}, p)).$$

Take a sequence $(\beta_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of non-negative reals with unbounded support such that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k (\|\bar{y}_p\|_k + \mu_k) < +\infty; \quad (31)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k < +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k m_k p_{k+d_1} n^k < +\infty; \quad (32)$$

$$\frac{C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \|\bar{y}_p\|_k}{\sum_{k=d_1+d_2}^{\infty} \beta_k} < 1, \quad (33)$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \|y_p\|_k \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \|y_p\|_k}{\sum_{k=d_2}^{\infty} \beta_k}} \right)^{-2} < \frac{r' \beta_{k_0+d_1+d_2}}{p_{k_0+d_1}}. \quad (34)$$

It is not difficult to show the existence of such a sequence $(\beta_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$. Let us apply Theorem 2 with $F(\cdot, p)$, r' , $\bar{y}_p$ and 0, instead of F , r , $\bar{y}$ and y , respectively. Obviously, (i) and (ii) are satisfied with $\nu_k = \mu_k + \|\bar{y}_p\|_k$, $\nu'_k = 0$. We can find $\bar{x}_p \in S(p)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} d_k(\bar{x}, S(p)) &\leq \|\bar{x}_p - \bar{x}\|_{k_0} \\ &\leq r' < \tau d_{k_0+d_1+d_2}(0, F(\bar{x}, p)). \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Now let be given $x \in B_X(\bar{x}, k_0, r/2)$ and $p \in W$. If $d_{k_0+d_1+d_2}(0, F(x, p)) \geq r/(2\tau)$, then by relation (35), there is $\bar{x}_p \in S(p)$ such that $\|\bar{x} - \bar{x}_p\|_{k_0} \leq \tau\varepsilon < r/4$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} d_{k_0}(x, S(p)) &\leq \|x - x_p\|_{k_0} \\ &\leq \|x - \bar{x}\|_{k_0} + \|\bar{x} - x_p\|_{k_0} \\ &\leq r/2 + r/4 < \tau d_{k_0+d_1+d_2}(0, F(x, p)). \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Let us consider the case $d_{k_0+d_1+d_2}(0, F(x, p)) < r/(2\tau)$. Then there is $y_p \in F(x, p)$ with $\|y_p\|_{k_0+d_1+d_2} < r/2$. Pick a non-negative number $r' < r/2$ with

$$p_{k_0+d_1} \|y_p\|_{k_0+d_1+d_2} < r' < \tau \|y_p\|_{k_0+d_1+d_2}.$$

Since $C(\|y_p\|_{k_0+d_1+d_2} + \|x - \bar{x}\|_{k_0}) < Cr < 1/2$, we can pick a sequence $(\beta_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of non-negative reals with unbounded support such that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k (\|y_p\|_k + \mu_k) < +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k m_k \|x - \bar{x}\|_{k-d_2} < +\infty;$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k < +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k m_k p_{k+d_1} n^k < +\infty; \\ \frac{C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\beta_k \|y_p\|_k + \beta_{k+d_1+d_2} \|x - \bar{x}\|_{k-d_2})}{\sum_{k=d_1+d_2}^{\infty} \beta_k} := s < 1; \end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \|y_p\|_k (1 - \sqrt{s})^{-2} < \frac{r' \beta_{k_0+d_1+d_2}}{p_{k_0+d_1}}.$$

Then apply Theorem 2 with $F(\cdot, p)$, r' , y_p , 0, x instead of F , r , $\bar{y}$, y , and $\bar{x}$, respectively, since conditions (i) and (ii) are verified for $\nu_k = \|x - \bar{x}\|_k + \|y_p\|_k + \mu_k$, $\nu'_k = \|x - \bar{x}\|_{k-d_1-d_2}$. We obtain the existence of $x_p \in S(p)$ verifying

$$d_k(x, S(p)) \leq \|x_p - x\|_{k_0} \leq r' < \tau d_{k_0+d_1+d_2}(0, F(\bar{x}, p)).$$

Thus (30) is shown. $\square$

To a given multifunction $F : X \rightrightarrows Y$, we associate the new multifunction $\Phi : X \times Y \rightrightarrows Y$ defined by

$$\Phi(x, y) = F(x) - y, \quad (x, y) \in X \times Y.$$

Applying Theorem 3 to the multifunction Φ , we derive the following result of metric regularity type in Fréchet spaces.

Corollary 4. *Let X, Y be graded Fréchet spaces and assume that Y is standard. Let $F : X \rightrightarrows Y$ be a closed multifunction and let be given $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \text{gph } F$. Suppose also that there are integers k_0, d_1, d_2 , real numbers $r \in (0, +\infty]$, $C > 0$ and non-decreasing sequences of non-negative reals $(\nu_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, $(\nu'_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, and $(m_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, $(p_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $m_k \geq 1$, $p_k \geq 1$ such that the following conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 2 are satisfied. Then for every $\tau > p_{k_0+d_1}$, there exists a neighborhood W in Y of $\bar{y}$ such that*

$$d_{k_0}(x, F^{-1}(y)) \leq \tau d_{k_0+d_1+d_2}(y, F(x)), \quad (37)$$

for all $(x, y) \in B_X(\bar{x}, k_0, r) \times W$.

When the mapping $F : X \rightarrow Y$ is Gâteaux differentiable, Theorem 2 yields the following theorem which covers Theorem 3 in [?].

Theorem 5. *Let $F : X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous mapping between graded Fréchet spaces X, Y with Y standard, and let $\bar{x} \in X$ be given. Suppose F is Gâteaux differentiable on X with derivative $DF(x)$, and that there are integers k_0, d_1, d_2 , real numbers $r \in (0, +\infty]$, $C > 0$ and non-decreasing sequences of non-negative reals $(\nu_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, and $(m_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, $(p_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $m_k \geq 1$, $p_k \geq 1$ such that the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (1) *For every $u \in X$, there exists $c_2(u) > 0$ such that for all $x \in B_X(\bar{x}, k_0, r)$, all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\|DF(x)u\|_k \leq c_2(u)(m_k\|u\|_{k+d_1} + \|x - \bar{x}\|_k/p_{k-d_2} + \|F(x) - F(\bar{x})\|_k + \nu_k)$$

- (2) *For all $x \in B_X(\bar{x}, k_0, r)$, there exists a linear mapping $L(x) : Y \rightarrow X$ such that $DF(x)L(x) = I_Y$ (the identity mapping on Y) and for every $v \in Y$,*

$$\|L(x)v\|_k \leq \frac{C}{m_k p_{k-d_1-d_2}} \|x - \bar{x}\|_{k-d_1-d_2} \|v\|_{d_1+d_2} + p_k \|v\|_{k+d_2}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let $(\beta_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of non-negative reals with unbounded support such that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \nu_k < +\infty, \quad (38)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k < +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k m_k p_{k+d_1} n^k < +\infty. \quad (39)$$

Then, for every $y \in Y$ with

$$\frac{C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \|y - F(\bar{x})\|_k}{\sum_{k=d_1+d_2}^{\infty} \beta_k} < 1, \quad (40)$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \|y - F(\bar{x})\|_k \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \|y - F(\bar{x})\|_k}{\sum_{k=d_1+d_2}^{\infty} \beta_k}} \right)^{-2} < \frac{r \beta_{k_0+d_1+d_2}}{p_{k_0+d_1}}, \quad (41)$$

there exists $x \in B_X(\bar{x}, k_0, r)$ such that $F(x) = y$.

Proof. It suffices to show that conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 2 are satisfied. Indeed, for every $x \in B(\bar{x}, k_0, r)$, $v \in Y$, setting $u = L(x)v$, one has obviously $u \in DF^{-1}(x)(v)$. Thus condition (2) implies (ii) of Theorem 2. For given $u \in X$ and $x \in B(\bar{x}, k_0, r)$, pick $\bar{t} \in (0, 1)$ such that $\|x + tu\|_{k_0} < r$ for all $t \in [0, \bar{t}]$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, define the function $f_k : [0, \bar{t}] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f_k(t) = \|F(x + tu) - F(\bar{x})\|_k, \quad t \in [0, \bar{t}].$$

Obviously, f has a right derivative everywhere and

$$f'_{k+}(t) \leq \|DF(x + tu)\|_k, \quad \forall t \in [0, \bar{t}].$$

Therefore, by assumption (1), one has

$$f'_{k+}(t) \leq c_2(u)(m_k \|u\|_{k+d_1} + \|x + tu - \bar{x}\|_k / p_{k-d_2} + f(t) + \nu_k), \quad \forall t \in [0, \bar{t}],$$

and consequently,

$$f'_{k+}(t) - c_2(u)f_k(t) \leq c_2(u)((m_k + 1)\|u\|_{k+d_1} + \|x - \bar{x}\|_k + \nu_k), \quad \forall t \in [0, \bar{t}].$$

Equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-tc_2(u)}[f'_{k+}(t) - c_2(u)f_k(t)] \\ & \leq e^{-tc_2(u)}c_2(u)((m_k + 1)\|u\|_{k+d_1} + \|x - \bar{x}\|_k / p_{k-d_2} + \nu_k), \quad \forall t \in [0, \bar{t}]. \end{aligned}$$

By integration, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-tc_2(u)} f_k(t) - f_k(0) \\ & \leq (1 - e^{-tc_2(u)})((m_k + 1)\|u\|_{k+d_1} + \|x - \bar{x}\|_k/p_{k-d_2} + \nu_k). \end{aligned}$$

That is, for all $t \in [0, \bar{t})$,

$$\begin{aligned} & m_k\|u\|_{k+d_1} + \|F(x + tu) - F(\bar{x})\|_k \\ & \leq e^{tc_2(u)}[(m_k + 1)\|u\|_{k+d_1} + \|x - \bar{x}\|_k/p_{k-d_2} + \|F(x) - F(\bar{x})\|_k + \nu_k]. \end{aligned}$$

This together with (1) yield

$$\begin{aligned} & \|DF(x + tu)u\|_k \\ & \leq c_2(u)e^{tc_2(u)}[(m_k + 1)\|u\|_{k+d_1} + \|x - \bar{x}\|_k/p_{k-d_2} + \|F(x) - F(\bar{x})\|_k + \nu_k], \quad \forall t \in [0, t_0). \end{aligned}$$

Next, pick a sequence $\{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converging to 0, with $t_n \in (0, \bar{t})$, and set

$$u_n := u, \quad v_n := \frac{F(x + t_n u) - F(x)}{t_n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then, $F(x) + t_n v_n = F(x + t_n u)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (u_n, v_n) = (u, DF(x)u)$. Setting $C_2(u) = c_2(u)e^{\bar{t}c_2(u)}$, the Mean Value inequality yields,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|v_n\|_k \\ & \leq \sup_{s \in [0, t_n]} \|DF(x + su)u\|_k \\ & \leq C_2(u)[(m_k + 1)\|u\|_{k+d_1} + \|x - \bar{x}\|_k/p_{k-d_2} + \|F(x) - F(\bar{x})\|_k + \nu_k], \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{aligned}$$

Thus condition (ii) of Theorem 2 holds. $\square$

Corollary 6. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 5, for every $\tau > p_{k_0+d_1}$, there exist $r > 0$ and a neighborhood W in Y of $\bar{y}$ such that*

$$d_{k_0}(x, F^{-1}(y)) \leq \tau \|y - F(x)\|_{k_0+d_1+d_2}, \quad (42)$$

for all $(x, y) \in B_X(\bar{x}, k_0, r) \times W$.

Using the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 5, from Theorem 3, we obtain, when $F(\cdot, p)$ is Gâteaux differentiable, the following implicit multifunction theorem for the system (29).

Corollary 7. *Let X, Y be graded Fréchet spaces and let P be a topological space. Consider a mapping $F : X \times P \rightarrow Y$ and a given point given $(\bar{x}, \bar{p}) \in X \times P$ with $F(\bar{x}, \bar{p}) = 0$. Assume furthermore that Y is standard. Suppose $F(\cdot, p)$ is Gâteaux differentiable on X with derivative $DF_p(x)$ for p near $\bar{p}$, and that there are integers k_0, d_1, d_2 , real numbers $r_0 \in (0, +\infty]$, $C > 0$ and non-decreasing sequences of non-negative reals $(\nu_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, and $(m_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, $(p_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $m_k \geq 1$, $p_k \geq 1$ such that the following conditions are satisfied:*

(i) The mapping F is continuous at $(\bar{x}, \bar{p})$;

(ii) For p near $\bar{p}$, for every $u \in X$, there exists $c_2(p, u) > 0$ such that for all $x \in B_X(\bar{x}, k_0, r_0)$, all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\|DF_p(x)u\|_k \leq c_2(p, u)(m_k\|u\|_{k+d_1} + \|x - \bar{x}\|_k/p_{k-d_2} + \|F_p(x) - F_p(\bar{x})\|_k + \nu_k);$$

(iii) For p near $\bar{p}$ and for all $x \in B_X(\bar{x}, k_0, r_0)$, there exists a linear mapping $L_p(x) : Y \rightarrow X$ such that $DF_p(x)L_p(x) = I_Y$ (the identity mapping on Y) and for every $v \in Y$,

$$\|L_p(x)v\|_k \leq \frac{C}{m_k p_{k-d_1-d_2}} \|x - \bar{x}\|_{k-d_1-d_2} \|v\|_{d_1+d_2} + p_k \|v\|_{k+d_2}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then, for every $\tau > p_{k_0+d_1}$, there exist $r > 0$ and a neighborhood W in P of $\bar{p}$ such that

$$d_{k_0}(x, S(p)) \leq \tau \|F(x, p)\|_{k_0+d_1+d_2}, \quad (43)$$

for all $(x, p) \in B_X(\bar{x}, k_0, r) \times W$.

3. Application: Differential equations in Fréchet spaces

In this final section, we present an application to the existence of solutions for ordinary differential equations in Fréchet spaces. Let X be a graded Fréchet space, let $U \subseteq X$ be an open set, let $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $r_0 > 0$ be given, and let $f : [t_0 - r_0, t_0 + r_0] \times U \rightarrow X$ be a continuous mapping. For given $r > 0$ and $x_0 \in U$, consider the initial value problem:

$$(DEF) \quad \begin{cases} x'(t) &= f(t, x(t)), & t \in [t_0 - r, t_0 + r], \\ x(t_0) &= x_0. \end{cases}$$

When the function f is of class C^2 , Poppenberg [?] established a result on existence of solutions for equation (DEF). In the following theorem, the data function is assumed merely to be Gâteaux differentiable.

Theorem 8. *Let E be graded Fréchet space such that X and $C([-1, 1], E)$ are standard. Suppose that the function f is continuous on $[t_0 - r_0, t_0 + r_0] \times U$. Suppose also that for each $t \in [t_0 - r_0, t_0 + r_0]$, $f(t, \cdot) : U \rightarrow X$ is Gâteaux differentiable on U with derivative $D_x f(t, \cdot)$, and that there is a non-decreasing sequence of non-negative reals $(c_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that for all $(t, x) \in [t_0 - r_0, t_0 + r_0] \times U$, one has*

$$\|D_x f(t, x)u\|_k \leq c_k \|u\|_k, \quad \text{for all } u \in X, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (44)$$

Then, there is $r \in (0, r_0]$ such that problem (ODEF) has a solution $x(\cdot) \in C^1([t_0 - r, t_0 + r], E)$. If in addition, f is a C^1 -map on $[t_0 - r_0, t_0 + r_0] \times U$, and that, say, for a sequence $(c_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ above,

$$\|Df(t, x)\|_k \leq c_k \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \forall (t, x) \in [t_0 - r_0, t_0 + r_0] \times U, \quad (45)$$

then the solution $x(\cdot)$ is unique.

Proof. Using the transformations $t = t_0 + rs$, $z(s) = x(t_0 + rs) - x_0$, $s \in [-1, 1]$, we can rewrite problem (DEF) as

$$(DEF1) \quad \begin{cases} z'(s) &= rf(t_0 + rs, z(s) + x_0), \quad s \in [-1, 1], \\ z(0) &= 0. \end{cases}$$

Denote by

$$W = \{(z, r) \in C^1([-1, 1], X) \times \mathbb{R} : r \in (-r_0, r_0), z(s) \in U \forall s \in [-1, 1]\}.$$

W is an open subset of the graded Fréchet space $C^1([-1, 1], X) \times \mathbb{R}$. Set $F : W \rightarrow C([-1, 1], X) \times X$, defined by

$$F(z, r) = (z'(s) - rf(t_0 + rs, z(s) + x_0), z(0)), \quad s \in [-1, 1], (z, r) \in W.$$

Then for each $r \in (0, r_0)$, $F(r, \cdot)$ is Gâteaux differentiable on W with derivative given by

$$D_z F(z, r)u = (u'(s) - rD_x f(t_0 + rs, z(s) + x_0)u(s), u(0)), \quad s \in [-1, 1], (r, s) \in W, u \in C^1([-1, 1], X).$$

Obviously, $(0, 0) \in W$ and $F(0, 0) = (0, 0)$, and moreover, $z \in C^1([-1, 1], X)$ is a solution of problem (DEF1) with respect to $r \in (-r_0, r_0)$ if and only if $F(z, r) = (0, 0)$. So it suffices to show that the mapping F verifies all the assumptions (i), (ii) and (iii) of Corollary 7 with $(\bar{x}, \bar{p}) := (0, 0)$, $(x, p) := (x, r)$. Assumption (i) is obvious. To verify (ii), for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, for $(z, r) \in W$, $u \in C^1([-1, 1], X)$, making use of relation (44), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_z F(z, r)u\|_k &= \|u'(\cdot) - rD_x f(t_0 + rs, z(\cdot) + x_0)u(\cdot)\|_k + \|u(0)\|_k \\ &\leq \|u'(\cdot)\|_k + r_0 c_k \|u(\cdot)\|_k + \|u(0)\|_k \leq (2 + r_0 c_k) \|u\|_k. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (ii) follows. To verify (iii), for $(z, r) \in W$, $(v, v_0) \in C([-1, 1], X) \times X$, then $D_z F(z, r)u = (v, v_0)$, $u \in C^1([-1, 1], X)$ if and only if u is a solution of the linear differential equation:

$$(LDE) \quad \begin{cases} u'(s) &= A(s)u(s) + v(s), \quad s \in [-1, 1], \\ u(0) &= v_0 \end{cases}$$

where, $A(s) := rD_x f(t_0 + rs, z(s) + x_0)$ ($s \in [-1, 1]$) is a continuous linear mapping from X to itself, according to condition (44). Thanks to Proposition 3.4 in [?], Problem (LDE) has a unique solution $u \in C^1([-1, 1], X)$. That is, $D_z F(z, r)$ is invertible with $L(z, r) := D_z F(z, r)^{-1}(v, v_0) = u$, u solving (LDE), for $(v, v_0) \in C([-1, 1], X) \times X$. Let now $(v, v_0) \in C([-1, 1], X) \times X$, be given, and let $u \in C^1([-1, 1], X)$ be a solution of problem (LDE). One has

$$\|A(s)u(s)\|_k \leq r_0 c_k \|u(s)\|_k, \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N}, s \in [-1, 1]. \quad (46)$$

Therefore, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, by considering the corresponding integral equation of (LDE),

one has

$$\|u(t)\|_k \leq \|v\|_k + \|v_0\|_k + r_0 c_k \int_0^t \|u(s)\|_k, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1].$$

Thanks to the Gronwall lemma, applied to the function $\alpha(t) := \|u(t)\|_k$, $t \in [0, 1]$, one obtains

$$\|u(t)\|_k \leq e^{r_0 c_k t} \|(v, v_0)\|_k, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, 1].$$

Similarly, for $t \in [-1, 0]$, by setting $w(s) := u(-s)$, $s \in [0, 1]$, one also has

$$\|w(t)\|_k \leq \|v\|_k + \|v_0\|_k + r_0 c_k \int_0^t \|w(s)\|_k, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1].$$

Hence,

$$\|u(t)\|_k \leq e^{-r_0 c_k t} \|(v, v_0)\|_k, \quad \text{for all } t \in [-1, 0].$$

Thus,

$$\sup_{s \in [-1, 1]} \|u(s)\|_k \leq e^{r_0 c_k} \|(v, v_0)\|_k, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (47)$$

Furthermore, from equation (LDE), using relations (46), (47), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{s \in [-1, 1]} \|u'(s)\|_k &\leq r_0 c_k \sup_{s \in [-1, 1]} \|u(s)\|_k + \sup_{s \in [-1, 1]} \|v(s)\|_k \\ &\leq r_0 c_k e^{r_0 c_k} \|(v, v_0)\|_k + \|v\|_k \leq (r_0 c_k e^{r_0 c_k} + 1) \|(v, v_0)\|_k. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|u(\cdot)\|_k \leq (e^{r_0 c_k} + r_0 c_k e^{r_0 c_k} + 1) \|(v, v_0)\|_k,$$

and (iii) follows. According to Corollary 7, there is a neighborhood $U(x_0) \subseteq U$ of x_0 and $r_1 > 0$, as well as a sequence of non-negative reals (τ_k) such that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$d_k(x, S(r)) \leq \tau_k \|F(x, r)\|_k, \quad \text{for all } (x, r) \in U(x_0) \times [0, r_1],$$

where,

$$S(r) = \{z \in C^1([-1, 1], X) : (z, r) \in W, F(z, r) = 0\}.$$

Suppose now f is a mapping of class C^1 on $[t_0 - r_0, t_0 + r_0] \times U$. We shall show that when $r > 0$ is sufficiently small, there is a unique $z \in C^1([-1, 1], X)$ with $(z, r) \in W$ such that $F(x, r) = (0, 0)$. Indeed, since f is a C^1 -mapping on $[t_0 - r_0, t_0 + r_0] \times U$, there is a sequence of non-negative reals (ε_k) such that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|f(t_1, x_1)\|_k - f(t_2, x_2)\|_k \\ &\leq \|Df(t_0, x_0)\|_k \|(t_1 - t_2, x_1 - x_2)\|_k \\ &+ \varepsilon_k \|(t_1 - t_2, x_1 - x_2)\|_k, \quad \forall (t_1, x_1), (t_2, x_2) \in [t_0 - r_0, t_0 + r_0] \times U. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that there is some sequence of non-negative (d_k) such that

$$\|f(t_1, x_1)\|_k - f(t_2, x_2)\|_k \leq d_k \|(t_1 - t_2, x_1 - x_2)\|_k \quad (48)$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $(t, x) \in [t_0 - r_0, t_0 + r_0] \times U$.

Let given $r \in (0, r_0)$ and let z_1 and z_2 in $C^1([-1, 1], X)$ are two solutions of equation (DEF1) with respect to r . Then, one has

$$\begin{cases} z_1'(s) - z_2'(s) &= r[f(t_0 + rs, z_1(s) + x_0) - f(t_0 + rs, z_2(s) + x_0)], \quad s \in [-1, 1], \\ z_1(0) - z_2(0) &= 0. \end{cases}$$

By making use similarly of the Gronwall lemma as above for this differential equation with its solution $z_1 - z_2$, one derives $z_1 = z_2$. The proof is completed. $\square$

Remark 9. Note that, by the same argument, the conclusion of the preceding theorem remains true if condition (44) is replaced by the following one:
there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, one has

$$\|D_x f(t, x)u\|_k \leq C(\|x\|_k + \|u\|_k), \quad \text{for all } u \in X, (t, x) \in [t_0 - r_0, t_0 + r_0] \times U. \quad (49)$$